

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/691,377	HIGGINS ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		TIZE MA	2628

All Participants:

(1) TIZE MA.

(2) Jon Ikegami.

Date of Interview: 14 January 2010

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,27

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicants authorized the examiner to do the examiner's amendment to claims 1 and 27 to address the 101 issues. The language of the claims was also discussed.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/XIAO M. WU/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2628

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)